APPENDIX #7

0 + 5

Alsofot.

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Aftern Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

RENZ D. JENNINGS Chairman MARCIA WEEKS

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

27

Commissioner SHARON B. MEGDAL Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF NEWVECTOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC. - TARIFF FILING TO INSTITUTE CALLING PARTY BILLING. NOV 13 1986

C.72

DECISION NO. _55.275 ORDER

Open Meeting November 13, 1986 Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF PACT

- 1. NewVector Communications, Inc. (NewVector), is certificated to provide cellular radio communications service as a public service corporation in the State of Arisona.
- 2. On October 7, 1986, NewVector filed a new tariff page to institute a new calling party billing option.

Cellular Radio Telecommunications Service

Page 20, Original

- 3. Calling party billing would give cellular telephone subacribers the option of having the Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company (Mountain Bell) customer pay for the use of the cellular system.
- 4. In order to implement NewVector's calling party billing option, Mountain Bell must file a revised tariff page specifying that Mountain Bell customers who call NewVector's new prefix Will be subject to cellular interconnection charges.

A02008.0

there we not the fall and a control to the fall of the

- 5. Staff recognizes concerns that Mountain Bell customers may be unaware that they will be billed for calling cellular subscribers.
- 6. The Commission, on October 29, 1986, in Decision No. 55264, suspended the tariff filing for a period of thirty (30) days.
- 7. Staff requested the suspension so that it could explore the possibility of institution of "1-plus" dialing for all cellular calling party billing prefixes.
- 8. Staff has reviewed the tariff filing, explored the possibility of institution of "l-plus" dialing for all cellular calling party pays prefixes, and recommends approval conditioned upon the results of the Commission's decision regarding Mountain Bell's tariff revision.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- NewVector is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over NewVector and of the subject matter of the Application.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the tariff page (a copy of which is contained in the Commission tariff files) and Staff's Memorandum dated November 3, 1986, concludes that it is reasonable, fair and equitable, and is therefore in the public interest.

(402000.0 A Mark 1997) A Mark 1997 A Mark

tenant No. 11 3416-86 2000 Decision No. 55275 Page 3

1	ORDER
2	THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED that the tariff page listed above be
3	and hereby is approved to become effective upon the approval of
4	Mountain Bell tariff revision to institute cellula
5	interconnection charges for calls to NewVector customers.
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become
7	offective immediately.
8	APPROVED
9	(Let) Strom & Meade
10	7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7
11	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER
12	IN WITHESS WHEREOF, I, JAMES MATTHEMS
13	Executive Secretary of the Arison Corporation Commission, have hereunt
14	set my hand and caused the officia seal of this Commission to be affixed
15	at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix this 12 day of North 1986.
6	Deer Rmoulton
.7	
	Executive Secretary
10	DISSENT
12	WER: WS: ab

23

BEFORE THE ARIZONA COMPORATION COMMISSION

RENS D. JEMNINGS Chairman KARCIA WEEKS Commissioner SHABON B. HECDAL Commissioner

2

10

11

18

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

23

IN THE MATTER OF METRO MOBILE CTS)
OF PHOEMIX, INC. - TARIFF FILING)
TO INSTITUTE CELLULAR
INTERCONNECTION CHARGES.

DOCKET NO. U-2443-85-371 DECISION NO. <u>548-92</u>

ORDER

Artesas Corporation Commissian DOCKETED

FEB 11 1986

Pebruary 5, 1986 Phoenix, Arizona

Open Meeting

BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF PACT

DOCKETED BY

- i. Netro Mobile CTS of Phoenix, Inc. (Metro Mobile) is certificated to provide cellular radio communications service as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona.
- 2. On December 11, 1986, Metro Mobile filed revised tariff sheets to institute cellular interconnection charges.

Metro Mobile CTS of Phoenix, Inc.

Tariff A.C.C. No. 1, Sheet 34, 1st Revised Tariff A.C.C. No. 1, Sheet 35, 1st Revised Effective Wholesale Price List, Sheets 1 and 2

- 3. On January 8, 1986, in Decision No. 54830, the Commission suspended the tariff filing for a period of thirty (30) days.
- 4. The tariff filing contains a provision tht Netro Nobile CTS of Phoenix, Inc., at its option, may bill either the cellular subscriber or the calling party the cellular usage rates for utilization of the cellular system associated with calls terminated on the subscriber's access number block(s).

200482.0

DOCUMENT MODE WHITE HERE secialon do. La file. Paga Disc

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

84

25 16

- 5. Staff recommended the anapension so that it could investigate the matter further
- On May 22, 1985, the Commission, in Decision No. 54529, approved a request by Mountain Bell to withdraw its interim cellular interconnection tariff filing since a cellular interconnection agreement establishing permanent interconnection rates and terms had been negotiated between the parties, with Staff's participation and concurrence.
- 7. Staff has concluded its investigation and found that the cellular interconnection charges are a component of an interconnection agreement reached between Metro Mobile and Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company (Mountain Bell).
- 8. The option to bill either the cellular subscriber or the dalling party the cellular usage rates is not a component of the aforesaid interconnection agreement.
- 9. Staff has concluded that the cellular interconnection charges are appropriate.
- 10. Staff recommends approval of the tariff filing with the requirement that both Metro Mobile and Mountain Bell notify their customers of the charges prior to their implementation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Commission, having reviewed the tariff sheets (a copy of which is contained in the Commission tariff files) and Staff's Memorandum dated January 27, 1986, concludes that the tariff is ressonable, fair and equitable, and is therefore in the public interest.

28

B00482.0

微

DOCKET NO. U-7443-85-371 DECISION NO. <u>54892</u> Page Three

ORDER

THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED that, with the exception of the tariff provision discussed in Finding of Fact Number 4, the tariff be and hereby approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Metro Mobile CTS of Phoenix, Inc., shall file a tariff that conforms with this Order within five days of the effective date of this Decision.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that both Metro Mobile and Mountain Sell notify their customers of these charges prior to their implementation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

APPROVED

10

11

18

15 14

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

24

26

+

14

CHAIRNAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JAMES MATTHEWS, Executive Secretary of the Arisona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 11 day of Figure 1986.

JAMES HATTHEWS
Executive Secretary

oteesur

MERINSINE

100482.0

OPEN MEETING ITEM, RECEIVED

CAT

MEMORANDON JAN 27 1 24 PM '86 DOCUMENT

CONTROL

THE COMMISSION 70:

Utilities Divisio

January 27, 1986 DATE:

METRO MOBILE CTS OF ENGRUM, THE. - TARIPP INSTITUTE CELLULAR THEORY ONNERS ON CHARGES M£:

(DOCKET NO. U-2443-8:

On December 11, 1985, Metro Mobile CTS of Phoenix, Inc., (Metro Mobile) filed revised tariff sheets to institute cellular interconnection charges.

On January 8, 1986, the Commission, in Decision No. 54830, suspended the tariff filing for a period of thirty (30) days. Staff recommended the suspension so that it could further investigate the cellular interconnection agreement between Metro Mobile and Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company (Mountain Bell). Staff has concluded its investigation and now recommends approval of the tariff filing.

This tariff filing would allow Metro Mobile to bill either its deliular service customer or a local exchange company for the use of the cellular system when a call originates from a local exchange company subscriber and terminates at a cellular telephone number. This charge is similar to toll charges for calls which terminate in another telephone company's service territory. The difference is that the cellular telephone company will charge Mountain Bell who, in turn, will bill its customer. Local exchange companies normally divide revenues from the joint provision of toll telephone service through the Separations and Settlements process.

Staff would note that the cellular interconnection charge is a component of an agreement signed by Metro Mobile and Mountain Bell. On May 22, 1985, the Commission, in Decision No. 54529, Bell. On May 22, 1985, the Commission, in Decision No. 54529, approved a request by Mountain Bell to withdraw its interim cellular interconnection tariff filing since an agreement regarding permanent interconnection rates and terms between the parties involved, with Staff's participation and concurrence, had been sudcessfully negotiated. The Commission, pursuant to A.R.S. Section 40-329(B) has the power to set rates for interconnection between telephone corporations when the parties do not agree on the terms and costs of interconnection. Since the telephone corporations had reached an agreement, Staff recommended approval of Neuntain Bell's request. The tariff filing in this docket implements one component of the agreement. THE CONVISSION January 27, 1986 Page Two

Staff believes that the cellular interconnection charge is appropriate. Staff's only concern is that Mountain Bell customers may not be aware that there is an additional charge to gall a telephone number associated with a Metro Mobile cellular telephone. Therefore, Staff recommends approval of the tariff filing with the requirement that both Mountain Bell and Metro Mobile notify their customers that these specific charges will be assessed.

Color S. Roht / Sy
Nayne B. Ruhter
Director

Director Utilities Division

WER: WEICT

AGENDA VERBIAGE: Same as above

ORIGINATOR: Will Shand